

Minutes of the Chailey Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

2nd November 2018

Present; Jack Cranfield, Katherine Matthews, Stephen Avery, Ken Jordan

1. Apologies for Absence

Don Cranfield, Mark Evans

2. Agreement of the Minutes from the Last Meeting 05/10/18

Agreed

3. Matters Arising

No

4. Discussion with Thea Petts

Postponed

5. Further Re Drafting Necessary on NP Draft

KM- Does the bit by ME need to be shortened a bit? Also if you have quite a bit on community don't we need paras on housing, environment and transport? An example is Newick's NP where paras are immediately before the policies.

KJ- Housing Needs Survey needs to be referenced more throughout the document.

KJ- Also has some other suggested changes. He will type them up and forward them on. (Some of these were discussed- see below).

Jack to redraft foreword

SA- Is everyone happy with the vision statement? Yes

KM... but we do need to check that it is this version on the website.

SA to put latest version on website.

(JC updating version of N P as we spoke)

KM- wouldn't some of the community section be better under the community heading? Need more text on environment, transport etc?

SA- commented on whether the bit he has redrafted is too long? Should it be shortened?

KM- yes perhaps it could be, we do have a separate Character Appraisal.

JC- leave it for now and let Donna comment.

KJ- the heading is Chailey past and present and this represents Chailey present.

KJ- has data. Agreed to summarise it at 1.4.12

SA- the end of MEs bit needs to be reworded a bit, they are all conclusions.

KJ- is this the place we should deal with conclusions? Needs to be evidence based.

KJ- we need to put more emphasis on small scale development. Do we need another objective? He would put in as objective 3. KJ to forward suggested wording.

KM- HO5 needs to mention 1, 2 and 3- 2 has disappeared.

JC- do we want a policy referring to development size? Was not in Donna's version?

KJ- sites have been identified in SHLAA for 2023, a large number of houses, therefore need a policy to try and defend against that.

JC to try and find original policy.

SA- does that not encourage developers to put fewer big houses on a site? Is it the density of development that people don't like? Need to get our story straight, is a bit confused.

KJ- can we move the order around, can we move HO5 to H03 as is important.

Also, do we need reference to materials that age sympathetically?

JC- need to go back to Donna re whether 50m is a standard figure

KM – if we take Markstakes out of the policy we could re iterate at start of N. P that Chailey Common includes this.

JC- we need to think about what community aspirations we want. KJ- could ask Donna for examples from other N Plans.

SA- what is the next step?

JC- we need to find out what Thea thinks, meet in person.

Don to do a section

JC to do forward and archaeology report

SA to go through doc and tidy up, prevent duplication etc once Jack has made his amendments and added in KJs amendments.

KM- we do need to make sure any changes are in red so we can see what's changed, keep drafts numbered. What about expanding Transport, economy etc as I mentioned?

JC- to look at Newick again and see their format.

JC to do list of appendices.

KJ- would quite like to see a child's picture on the front of the doc, JC to look into this.

6. Agreement of View Spots

JC- protection of open views, which particular views?

SA- from the link path, from and to the windmill

JC- could have an appendix with maps highlighting views.

SA- in dropbox are his photos, everyone can access. People can pick ones out.

JC- could do an open thing on facebook and twitter asking people for their favourite view.

Then perhaps create an appendix with these.

7. A.O.B

KJ-Too close to Christmas to do any consultation before
SA- would like a finished draft before Christmas

8. Dates of Upcoming Meetings

T.B.C.